

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/686,382

Applicant(s)

ANCELIN ET AL.

Examiner

Hadi Akhavannik

Art Unit

2624

All Participants:

(1) Hadi Akhavannik.

(2) Karl Bozicevic (28807).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007

Time: 12:06

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that claims 12 and 13 are single means claims and should be cancelled. Applicant agreed the cancel the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BRIAN WERNER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)